

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.154>

Effect of Packing and Storage Conditions on Physical and Physiological Parameters in Extending the Vase Life of Cut Carnation cv. Kiro

P. Pranuthi, T. Suseela, D.V. Swami*, D.R. Salomi Suneetha and V. Sudha Vani

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University,
Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari dist. (Andhra Pradesh), India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Carnation, Cold storage,
Water uptake,
Transpirational loss of
water, Water balance and
fresh weight change

Article Info

Accepted:

10 July 2018

Available Online:

10 August 2018

An experiment was carried out to study the effect of different packing and storage conditions on vase life of cut carnation cv. Kiro. The flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition recorded significantly the highest water uptake (g flower⁻¹), whereas significantly the modest transpirational loss of water (g flower⁻¹) resulting in increased water balance (g flower⁻¹) and highest fresh weight change (g flower⁻¹) has been recorded by flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition which have extended the vase life period of carnation flower cv. Kiro.

Introduction

Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) is an important cut flower in the world. Carnation is in great demand in international as well as domestic markets, therefore it is important to ensure the longest vase life of flowers. Cut flower industry most important aspects is post-harvest handling in order to maintain flower freshness and original colour for a long period after cutting from the mother plant for transportation to distant markets to fetch good prices. Two sets of factors are responsible for keeping quality of cut flowers. Internal mechanism that includes balance between water uptake and water loss, stem plugging,

respiration rate and production of toxic substances like ethylene. External factors that include environmental conditions and microbial attacks on cut ends.

Post-harvest life of cut flowers also depends upon efficient packaging and storage. An appropriate packaging of cut flowers together with pulsing is helpful to ensure fresh quality of flowers. Packing is a tool for controlling flower quality in the distribution chain. Apart from preventing mechanical damage, the package serves as a barrier between the conditions inside and outside the package. It protects the flowers from unfavorable outside conditions and enables a micro-climate to

develop inside the package (Lavanya *et al.*, 2016). Packaging must ensure protection of flowers against flower damage, water loss and external conditions, which are detrimental to flowers in transit (Sivaswamy *et al.*, 1999). Storage at low temperature under wet conditions results in low metabolic activities like respiration, transpiration and maintains high humidity and increased cell turgidity and cell enlargement there by keeping the flower quality and increased vase life (Halevy and Mayak, 1981).

Materials and Methods

The experiment was held at laboratory of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari dist (A.P) during year 2017-18. Experiment laid out in completely randomised design with factorial concept. The total number of treatment combinations are as follows T₁-P₁S₁: PVC cling film + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage), T₂-P₂S₁: Polyethylene (100 gauge) + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage), T₃-P₃S₁: Cellophane paper + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage), T₄-P₄S₁: Polypropylene + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage), T₅-P₅S₁: Control (open) + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage), T₆-P₁S₂: PVC cling film + Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage), T₇-P₂S₂: Polyethylene (100 gauge) + Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage), T₈-P₃S₂: Cellophane paper + Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage), T₉-P₄S₂: Polypropylene + Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage), T₁₀-P₅S₂: Control (open) + Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage), T₁₁-P₁S₃: PVC cling film + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Dry storage), T₁₂-P₂S₃: Polyethylene (100 gauge) + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Dry storage), T₁₃-P₃S₃: Cellophane paper + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Dry storage),

T₁₄-P₄S₃: Polypropylene + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Dry storage), T₁₅-P₅S₃: Control (open) + Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Dry storage), T₁₆-P₁S₄: PVC cling film + Cold storage of 5°C (Dry storage), T₁₇-P₂S₄: Polyethylene (100 gauge) + Cold storage of 5°C (Dry storage), T₁₈-P₃S₄: Cellophane paper + Cold storage of 5°C (Dry storage), T₁₉-P₄S₄: Polypropylene + Cold storage of 5°C (Dry storage), T₂₀-P₅S₄: Control (open) + Cold storage of 5°C (Dry storage). The flowers were kept under dry and wet conditions under both cold storage at 5°C as well as ambient temperature (22±2°C) by wrapping with different packing materials till the end of vase life period. Water uptake, transpirational loss of water and water balance were observed in wet conditions only *i.e.* T₁ to T₁₀. For physical, physiological, biochemical and microbial studies, same treatments were repeated separately for destructive sampling. Therefore under wet conditions distilled water was used in experimentation. In each conical flask, six flowers were placed and considered as one replication. The treatments were replicated thrice. The individual flower stalks were placed randomly in 500 ml conical flasks containing 300 ml of distilled water under wet conditions. Observations were recorded on water uptake, transpirational loss of water, water balance and fresh weight change.

Results and Discussion

The water uptake was significant among all the treatment combinations. The highest water uptake (23.05 g) was recorded in polypropylene packed flowers at 5°C cold storage under wet condition (T₉) and control (without packing) at ambient temperature (22±2°C) under wet condition (T₅) recorded significantly the lowest water uptake (18.34 g) whereas, the remaining all other treatments recorded intermediate values. There were significant differences in water uptake during different days of vase life period. The water

uptake increased from 2nd day (20.36 g) to 4th day (20.68 g) and then gradually decreased throughout the vase life period. The interaction effect between treatments and days on water uptake was found significant. The treatment T₉, flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition recorded significantly the highest water uptake (22.96 g) on 2nd day. On 4th day polypropylene packed flowers at 5°C cold storage under wet storage (T₉) recorded the highest water uptake (23.14 g). Treatment T₉ in polypropylene packed flower at 5°C cold storage under wet storage recorded the highest water uptake on all the days of vase life study. The increased water uptake with polypropylene packed flowers at cold storage under wet storage might be due positive effect of polypropylene had minimum rate of respiration, transpiration and higher moisture retention and also reduced damage in conducting vessels ensuring continuous water uptake as reported by Waters (1966) and Jeeva and Balakrishnamoorthy (1999) in rose. Storage at low temperature results in low metabolic activities like respiration, transpiration and maintains high humidity also under continuous wet conditions. These results are also in conformity with results of Singh *et al.*, (2009) in gladiolus and Bayleyagne *et al.*, (2012) in rose.

The transpirational loss of water was significant among all the treatment combinations. The lowest transpirational loss of water (1.07 g) was recorded with flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition (T₉) and control (without packing) at ambient temperature (22±2°C) under wet condition (T₅) recorded significantly the highest transpirational loss of water (5.91 g) whereas, the remaining all other treatments recorded intermediate values. There were significant differences in transpirational loss of water during different days of vase life period. The transpirational loss of water significantly increased from 2nd

day (2.79 g) to 4th day (3.64 g) at each successive interval of observation. The lowest transpirational loss of water was recorded on 2nd day (2.79 g), whereas, the highest transpirational loss of water (3.64 g) was recorded on 4th day. The interaction between treatments and days on transpirational loss of water was found significant. The treatment (T₉) *i.e* flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition recorded significantly the lowest transpirational loss of water (0.92 g) on 2nd day. On 4th day, polypropylene packed flowers at cold storage (5°C) under wet condition (T₉) recorded the lowest transpirational loss of water (1.23 g).

Treatment (T₉) flowers packed in polypropylene at cold storage (5°C) under wet condition recorded the lowest transpirational loss of water on all the days of vase life study. These findings were also in agreement with the results of Patel and Dhaduk (2010) envisaged that wrapping minimized the transpirational loss of water and decreased permeability for water and CO₂, which maintained humidity in wrapping material in tuberoses. Similar results were also observed by Sharma *et al.*, (2015) in carnation, Makhwana *et al.*, (2015) in rose and Lavanya *et al.*, (2016) in Jasmine.

The water balance was significant among all the treatment combinations. The highest water balance (22.01 g) was recorded with flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition (T₉) and treatment (T₅) *i.e.*, control (without packing) at ambient temperature (22±2°C) under wet condition recorded the lowest water balance (12.43 g) whereas, the remaining all other treatments recorded intermediate values. There were significant differences in water balance during different days of vase life period. The water balance significantly decreased from 2nd day (17.58 g) to 4th day (16.66 g) at each successive interval of observation (Table 1–4).

Table.1 Effect of different packaging and storage conditions on water uptake (g flower⁻¹) during vase life of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cv. Kiro

Treatments	Days												
	2	4	Mean	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
T ₁ -P ₁ S ₁	19.06	19.87	19.46	18.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₂ -P ₂ S ₁	19.97	20.43	20.20	18.81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₃ -P ₃ S ₁	19.75	20.20	19.97	18.73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₄ -P ₄ S ₁	20.04	20.84	20.44	19.67	18.83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₅ -P ₅ S ₁	18.56	18.12	18.34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₆ -P ₁ S ₂	20.41	20.72	20.56	20.89	21.10	21.54	21.06	20.81	20.42	20.11	-	-	-
T ₇ -P ₂ S ₂	21.75	22.06	21.90	22.46	22.82	23.08	22.94	22.30	21.90	21.18	20.86	20.18	-
T ₈ -P ₃ S ₂	20.67	20.86	20.76	21.14	21.70	22.11	21.63	21.16	20.85	20.54	20.10	19.72	-
T ₉ -P ₄ S ₂	22.96	23.14	23.05	23.95	24.10	24.92	24.30	23.96	23.23	22.95	22.37	21.90	20.27
T ₁₀ -P ₅ S ₂	20.43	20.64	20.53	20.77	20.91	21.02	20.85	20.11	19.79	19.51	-	-	-
Mean	20.36	20.68											

Factors	C.D at 5%	SE d
For treatments (T)	0.641	0.316
For days (D)	0.286	0.141
Factor(T×D)	0.360	0.177
*Significant at (P≤0.05)		

P₁: PVC cling film
 P₂: Polyethylene (100 gauge)
 P₃: Cellophane paper
 P₄: Polypropylene
 P₅: Control (open)
 S₁: Ambient temperature (22±2°c) (Wet storage)
 S₂: Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage)

Table.2 Effect of different packaging and storage conditions on transpirational loss of water (g flower⁻¹) during vase life of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cv. Kiro

Treatments	Days												
	2	4	Mean	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
T ₁ -P ₁ S ₁	4.65	5.24	4.94	6.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₂ -P ₂ S ₁	3.42	3.970	3.69	5.61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₃ -P ₃ S ₁	4.12	4.53	4.32	5.90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₄ -P ₄ S ₁	2.98	3.45	3.21	4.94	7.11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₅ -P ₅ S ₁	4.96	6.86	5.91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₆ -P ₁ S ₂	1.93	3.07	2.50	3.97	5.90	8.92	13.56	16.33	18.56	21.07	-	-	-
T ₇ -P ₂ S ₂	1.25	2.11	1.68	2.83	4.68	7.52	12.60	15.02	17.03	22.89	24.51	26.32	-
T ₈ -P ₃ S ₂	1.61	2.84	2.22	3.54	5.07	8.11	12.98	15.87	17.84	22.55	25.45	28.17	-
T ₉ -P ₄ S ₂	0.92	1.23	1.07	1.98	3.51	6.82	11.22	14.32	16.47	20.12	23.33	25.48	28.56
T ₁₀ -P ₅ S ₂	2.10	3.14	2.62	4.50	6.71	11.50	14.70	16.93	20.10	23.26	-	-	-
Mean	2.79	3.64											

Factors	C.D at 5%	SE d		P ₁ : PVC cling film
For treatments (T)	0.091	0.045		P ₂ : Polyethylene (100 gauge)
For days (D)	0.040	0.020		P ₃ : Cellophane paper
Factor(T×D)	0.128	0.063		P ₄ : Polypropylene
				P ₅ : Control (open)
				S ₁ : Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage)
*Significant at (P≤0.05)				S ₂ : Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage)

Table.3 Effect of different packaging and storage conditions on water balance (g flower⁻¹) during vase life of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cv. Kiro

Treatments	Days												
	2	4	Mean	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
T ₁ -P ₁ S ₁	14.42	13.01	13.71	11.88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₂ -P ₂ S ₁	16.56	15.45	16.00	13.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₃ -P ₃ S ₁	15.63	14.89	15.26	12.83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₄ -P ₄ S ₁	17.06	16.98	17.02	14.72	11.71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₅ -P ₅ S ₁	13.60	11.26	12.43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₆ -P ₁ S ₂	18.58	17.65	18.11	16.91	15.20	12.61	11.52	10.48	9.64	9.21	-	-	-
T ₇ -P ₂ S ₂	20.50	19.94	20.22	19.63	18.14	15.56	14.36	13.85	12.50	11.38	10.65	10.12	-
T ₈ -P ₃ S ₂	19.16	18.02	18.59	17.60	16.63	13.99	13.09	12.56	10.44	10.05	9.91	9.08	-
T ₉ -P ₄ S ₂	22.05	21.97	22.01	21.17	20.59	18.10	16.89	15.79	14.63	13.69	12.54	11.30	10.50
T ₁₀ -P ₅ S ₂	18.33	17.49	17.91	16.27	14.19	9.52	9.23	9.00	8.81	8.15	-	-	-
Mean	17.58	16.66											

Factors	C.D at 5%	SE d		P ₁ : PVC cling film
For treatments (T)	0.581	0.286		P ₂ : Polyethylene (100 gauge)
For days (D)	0.260	0.128		P ₃ : Cellophane paper
Factor(T×D)	0.821	0.405		P ₄ : Polypropylene
				P ₅ : Control (open)
				S ₁ : Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage)
*Significant at (P≤0.05)				S ₂ : Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage)

Table.4 Effect of different packaging and storage conditions on fresh weight change (g flower⁻¹) during vase life of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cv. Kiro

Treatments	Days												
	2	4	Mean	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
T ₁ -P ₁ S ₁	89.12	91.54	90.33	88.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₂ -P ₂ S ₁	93.48	96.43	94.95	92.19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₃ -P ₃ S ₁	90.41	93.50	91.95	89.63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₄ -P ₄ S ₁	95.09	98.91	97.00	94.24	90.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₅ -P ₅ S ₁	87.50	90.18	88.84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₆ -P ₁ S ₂	112.60	114.46	113.53	110.33	105.80	99.82	93.66	90.22	85.36	82.71	-	-	-
T ₇ -P ₂ S ₂	117.33	120.58	118.95	115.27	109.45	103.68	96.58	93.42	90.75	87.48	84.90	80.93	-
T ₈ -P ₃ S ₂	114.36	116.76	115.56	112.27	107.36	100.78	94.13	91.68	87.49	84.69	81.26	78.98	-
T ₉ -P ₄ S ₂	120.62	125.45	123.03	119.70	111.58	106.74	99.84	96.55	93.83	90.65	88.49	85.47	83.13
T ₁₀ -P ₅ S ₂	110.27	112.54	111.40	108.16	104.92	98.43	91.57	88.50	84.16	80.83	-	-	-
T ₁₁ -P ₁ S ₃	85.08	-	42.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₂ -P ₂ S ₃	86.58	81.57	84.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₃ -P ₃ S ₃	85.87	80.07	82.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₄ -P ₄ S ₃	87.01	84.25	85.63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₅ -P ₅ S ₃	84.34	-	42.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₆ -P ₁ S ₄	97.56	95.76	96.66	93.43	91.59	88.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₇ -P ₂ S ₄	105.47	101.66	103.56	98.85	96.10	93.11	86.37	83.61	80.15	-	-	-	-
T ₁₈ -P ₃ S ₄	101.34	98.81	100.07	96.14	93.48	90.64	85.10	80.45	-	-	-	-	-
T ₁₉ -P ₄ S ₄	108.72	106.11	107.41	103.93	100.03	95.46	89.87	85.14	81.27	-	-	-	-
T ₂₀ -P ₅ S ₄	95.43	92.49	93.96	90.54	88.74	85.16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	98.41	90.05											

Factors	C.D at 5%	SE d		P ₁ : PVC cling film	S ₁ : Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Wet storage)
For treatments (T)	3.002	1.505		P ₂ : Polyethylene (100 gauge)	S ₂ : Cold storage of 5°C (Wet storage)
For days (D)	0.949	0.476		P ₃ : Cellophane paper	S ₃ : Ambient temperature (22±2°C) (Dry storage)
Factor(T×D)	4.245	2.129		P ₄ : Polypropylene	S ₄ : Cold storage of 5°C (Dry storage)
				P ₅ : Control (open)	

Significantly the highest water balance was recorded on 2nd day (17.58 g) whereas, the lowest water balance (16.66 g) was recorded on 4th day. The interaction between treatments and days on water balance was found significant. The treatment (T₉) *i.e.*, flowers packed in polypropylene at cold storage (5°C) under wet condition recorded the highest water balance (22.05 g) on 2nd day. On 4th day polypropylene packed flowers at cold storage (5°C) under wet condition (T₉) recorded the highest water balance (21.97 g). The treatment T₉ flowers packed in polypropylene at cold storage (5°C) under wet condition recorded the highest water balance on all the days of vase life study. Increased water balance in polypropylene packed flowers kept at 5°C cold storage under wet condition might be due to the increased water uptake and reduced transpirational loss of water exhibited leading to better maintenance of water balance. These results were in line with the findings of Jitendra kumar *et al.*, (2012) in rose, Jayoti *et al.*, (2014) in tuberose.

The fresh weight change was significant among all the treatment combinations. The highest fresh weight change (123.03 g) was recorded with flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition (T₉) and control (without packing) at ambient temperature (22±2°C) under dry condition (T₁₅) recorded significantly the lowest fresh weight change (42.17 g) whereas, the remaining all other treatments recorded intermediate values.

There were significant differences in fresh weight change during different days of vase life period. The fresh weight change significantly decreased from 2nd day (98.41 g) to 4th day (90.05 g) at each successive interval of observation. Significantly the highest fresh weight change was recorded on 2nd day (98.41 g) whereas, the lowest fresh weight change (90.05 g) was recorded on 4th day.

The interaction effect between treatments and days on fresh weight change was found significant. The treatment (T₉) flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition recorded the highest fresh weight change (120.62 g) on 2nd day. On 4th day polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition (T₉) recorded the highest fresh weight change (125.45 g). Treatment T₉ flowers packed in polypropylene at 5°C cold storage under wet condition recorded the highest fresh weight change on all the days of vase life study. Increased fresh weight change might be due to polypropylene film protects the flower from water loss and permits partial gas exchange that maintain fresh weight and turgidity of flowers. Synergistic effect of low temperature under wet condition also maintains fresh weight of flower. Similar results in accordance with the work of Bayleygn *et al.*, (2012) in rose and Jayoti *et al.*, (2014) in tuberose. The decrease in relative fresh weight with increase in storage period could be associated with a continual loss of water by the flowers through transpiration. The other reason could also be attributed to the fact that decreased capacity of flowers to absorb water from solution or both during storage period. Similar results of reduction in fresh weight at senescence was reported by Jain *et al.*, (2007) in rose and Varu and Barad (2008) in tuberose.

References

- Bayleyegn, A., Tesfye, B. and Workneh, T.S. 2012. Effects of pulsing solution, packaging material and passive refrigeration storage system on vase life and quality of cut rose flowers. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 11(16): 3800-3009.
- Halevy, A.H. and Mayak, S. 1981. Senescence and postharvest physiology of cut flowers. Part I. In: *Horticultural*

- Reviews. Vol 2, AVI Publishing Westport, conn. Pp. 59-143.
- Jain, R., Gupta, Y. C., Bhalla, R. and Thakur, R. 2007b. Effect of wet storage on post-harvest quality of rose cv. First Red. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*. 10(4): 260-263.
- Jayoti, M., Krishan, P. S., Sellam, P., Babita, S. and Puja, R. 2014. Effect of various chemicals with packaging and storage on Tuberose (*Polyanthus tuberosa* L.) Shelf life. *Horticulture Flora Research Spectrum*. 3(2): 138-141.
- Jeeva, J. L. and Balakrishnamoorthy, G. 1999. Effect of pulsing and packing materials on postharvest life of Rose cv. Happiness. *South Indian Horticulture*. 47(1-6): 361-363.
- Jitendra Kumar., Anis Mirza and Krishan Pal. 2012. Study on Post-Harvest life of cut rose cv. First Red as affected by different chemicals and wrapping materials. *Horticulture Flora Research Spectrum*. 1(3): 263-266.
- Lavanya, V., Nidoni, U. R., Kurubar, A.R., Sharanagouda, H. and Ramachandra, C.T. 2016. Effect of pre-treatment and different packaging materials on shelf-life of Jasmine flowers (*Jasmine sambac*). *Environment & Ecology*. 34(1A): 341-345.
- Makhwana, R. J., Alka, S., Ahlawat, T. R. and Neelima, P. 2015. Standardization of low temperature storage technology with novel packaging techniques in rose cut flower cv. Passion. *Horticultural Flora Research Spectrum*. 4(11):44-47.
- Patel, D.S. and Dhaduk, B.K. 2010. Efficiency of various wrappings for packaging along with storage temperature and duration on vase life of cut tuberose (*Polianthus tuberosa* L.) cv. Local double. *Progressive Horticulture*. 42: 143-147.
- Sharma, B. P., Dilta, B. S., Dhiman, S. R. and Chaudhary, S. V. S. 2015. Studies on post-harvest life of carnation, (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.). *International Journal of Farm Sciences*. 5(4): 193-201.
- Singh, A., Kumar, J. and Kumar, P. 2009. Influence of sucrose pulsing and sucrose in vasse solution on flower quality of modified atmosphere low temperature (MALT)-stored gladiolus cut spikes. *Acta Horticulture*. 847: 129-138.
- Sivaswamy, N., Sujatha, A. N., Attri, B. L. and Sharma, T. V. R. S. 1999. Post-harvest technology of cut flowers. *Agro India*. 4: 12-13.
- Varu, D.K. and Barad, A.V. 2008 a. Effect of different packing methods on vase life and quality of cut flowers in tuberose (*Polyanthus tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. *Asian Journal of Biological Science*. 3(1):159-162.
- Waters, W. 1966. Toxicity of certain Florida waters to cut flowers. *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*. 79: 456-459.

How to cite this article:

Pranuthi, P., T. Suseela, D.V. Swami, D.R. Salomi Suneetha and Sudha Vani, V. 2018. Effect of Packing and Storage Conditions on Physical and Physiological Parameters in Extending the Vase Life of Cut Carnation cv. Kiro. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(08): 1356-1364.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.154>